

AFRRI TN71-2
MAY 1971

AFRRI
TECHNICAL
NOTE

**DOSIMETRY FOR
NEUTRON RADIATION STUDIES
IN MINIATURE PIGS**

AFRRI TN71-2

ARMED FORCES RADIOBIOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
Defense Atomic Support Agency
Bethesda, Maryland


Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

All aspects of investigative programs involving the use of laboratory animals sponsored by DoD components are conducted according to the principles enunciated in the "Guide for Laboratory Animal Facilities and Care", prepared by the National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.


May 1971

DOSIMETRY FOR NEUTRON RADIATION STUDIES
IN MINIATURE PIGS

D. M. VERRELLI



R. E. CARTER
Chairman
Physical Sciences Department



HUGH B. MITCHELL
Colonel, USAF, MC
Director

ARMED FORCES RADIOBIOLOGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE
Defense Atomic Support Agency
Bethesda, Maryland

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledges that this report represents a research team effort of the AFRRI Radiological Physics Division. Assistance of J. T. Istock and C. Carter in data accumulation and reduction is gratefully appreciated. The suggestions and comments by B. E. Leonard and R. E. Carter on the overall project are also acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	iii
I. Introduction	1
II. Materials and Methods	1
Experimental criteria	1
Radiation detectors	2
Neutron spectra	2
Neutron and gamma ray tissue kermas, free-in-air	2
Depth-dose dosimetry	2
Irradiation conditions	4
AFRRI-TRIGA Exposure Room No. 1	4
Enhancement of neutron field	5
Enhancement of gamma ray field.	6
III. Radiation Field Measurements	6
Neutron spectra	6
Tissue kerma rates, free-in-air	7
IV. Depth-dose Distributions	9
Depth-dose distribution through intestinal region	10
Depth-dose distribution through the brain region	12
V. Summary.	14
References	16

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1. 50 cm ³ carbon-CO ₂ ionization chamber.	3
Figure 2. Miniature tissue-equivalent ionization chamber	3
Figure 3. AFRRI-TRIGA Exposure Room No. 1 plan view	4
Figure 4. Exposure array for the neutron field.	5
Figure 5. Tissue kerma rates for enhanced neutron field	8
Figure 6. Tissue kerma rates for enhanced gamma ray field	8
Figure 7. Typical dosimetry array in pig cadaver.	9
Figure 8. Depth-dose distribution	10
Figure 9. Depth-dose distribution	11
Figure 10. Depth-dose distribution	13
Figure 11. Lateral-dose distribution	13
Figure 12. Depth-dose distribution	13

LIST OF TABLES

Table I. Relative Neutron Flux Density Distribution	6
Table II. Lengthwise Dose Distribution in Swine Trunk.	11
Table III. Depth-Dose Profile across the Brain of a Miniature Pig	14

ABSTRACT

Miniature pig cadavers were instrumented and irradiated in a neutron field (incident neutron to gamma kerma ratio of 5-10) and a gamma ray field (incident gamma to neutron kerma ratio of 10-15) from the AFRRI-TRIGA reactor. Characterization of the radiation field included free-in-air measurements of the neutron and gamma ray components employing the paired chamber concept. Depth-dose patterns across the brain and intestinal regions were measured for each of the radiation fields employing a miniature tissue-equivalent ionization chamber.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute is conducting a series of biological irradiations to determine the effectiveness of reactor-produced radiations for intestinal damage and for incapacitation in miniature pigs. This report presents the dosimetry aspects of that research program.

The objectives of the research reported herein were to characterize the radiation fields and to determine the depth-dose patterns for neutrons and for gamma rays across the intestinal and brain regions of pig cadavers.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental criteria

As neutrons may give substantial contributions to dose in the initial radiation phase from nuclear weapons, it was deemed necessary to determine the relative effectiveness of two significantly different incident radiations to biological end points. Consequently, the criteria for the shields to modify the reactor leakage spectra were specified for a free-in-air exposure condition. Neutron to gamma ray and gamma ray to neutron tissue kerma ratios, free-in-air, of the order of 15 were desired.

The interpretation of the radiobiological experiments performed required knowledge of the total absorbed dose pattern for the two significantly different incident radiation fields in order to classify the irradiation in accordance with the system of the International Commission on Radiological Units and Measurements (ICRU).⁵ For the intestinal damage portion of the study a midintestine tissue dose rate of 500 rads/minute was specified. In addition, the animals were to be rotated 180 degrees at the midpoint of the irradiation to provide a bilateral and more nearly uniform exposure.

For the incapacitation portion of the study a unilateral type irradiation was specified. The midbrain location was the point of normalization to correlate biological end points. A midbrain tissue dose rate of 2000 rads/minute was specified.

Radiation detectors

Neutron spectra. Detectors sensitive to neutrons only above a certain energy level are referred to as threshold detectors. The fission foil threshold detector system, first proposed by Hurst et al,³ was used in this study to evaluate changes in neutron spectrum resulting from the presence of shielding materials. The AFRRI threshold detector system is described in AFRRI SR66-3.²

Neutron and gamma ray tissue kermas, free-in-air. At AFRRI, the paired chamber concept has been employed for measuring the separate neutron and gamma components of the mixed field emanating from the AFRRI-TRIGA reactor. To date, this technique has been limited to free-field measurements employing 50 cm³ spherical ionization chambers.

The first of the two ion chambers is fabricated from tissue-equivalent plastic and is filled with tissue-equivalent gas. The plastic is a Shonka A-150 mixture and contains C_{6.456}, H_{10.2}, N_{0.25}, O_{0.25}, F_{0.1287} and Ca_{0.0635}.⁸ The tissue-equivalent filling gas is a mixture of methane 62.6 percent, carbon dioxide 33.8 percent and nitrogen 3.6 percent (percentages are in terms of partial pressure).

The second of the paired chambers is fabricated from graphite and is filled with CO₂ gas. A 50 cm³ ionization chamber is illustrated in Figure 1.

Depth-dose dosimetry. Measurements of the total tissue kerma for this study were performed using the miniature (0.05 cm³) ionization chamber. Designed by

Wyckoff and Shonka, this chamber approximates the composition of tissue, has a large range of sensitivity for saturated response, and its measurements are reproducible within ± 3 percent. AFRRI TN68-9⁶ describes the construction and performance of a similar but shorter chamber; Figure 2 is a cross-sectional view.




Figure 1. 50 cm³ carbon-CO₂ ionization chamber




Figure 2. Miniature tissue-equivalent ionization chamber

Irradiation conditions

AFRRI-TRIGA Exposure Room No. 1. The larger of the two AFRRI-TRIGA reactor exposure rooms is 20 ft x 20 ft x 10 ft high. When positioned adjacent to this exposure room, the TRIGA core is partially unreflected and serves as the radiation source (Figure 3).




Figure 3. AFRRI-TRIGA Exposure Room No. 1 plan view

To reduce the production of ^{41}Ar in the exposure room the wood-lined room was modified to minimize the thermal neutron flux density in the exposure volume. The walls and ceiling of Exposure Room No. 1 were resurfaced using Masonite panels covered with a specially developed paint containing Gd_2O_3 as the pigment. In addition, a 16-mil aluminum sheet was sprayed with the gadolinium paint (average thickness of the gadolinium was 22 mg/cm^2) and wrapped around the reactor tank protrusion into

the exposure room. A 40-mil cadmium shield was then placed over the gadolinium-coated aluminum primarily to absorb beta radiation generated in the gadolinium.

Enhancement of neutron field. The final design of the portable shield consisted of 6 inches of lead shielding in a 3 ft x 3 ft plane. When in position adjacent to the reactor tank protrusion in the exposure room, the tank and shield were straddled with boron and lead impregnated Masonite to minimize the neutron and gamma ray scatter component into the exposure volume. The shield thickness was assembled in 2-inch increments (up to the total of 6 inches) to permit evaluation of the modified fields and to optimize the final shield design. Figure 4 depicts the exposure array employing the 6-inch lead shield for the incapacitation portion of this study.




Figure 4. Exposure array for the neutron field

Enhancement of gamma ray field. Neutron contribution to the field was markedly reduced by positioning the reactor core at a distance of 5 inches from the exposure room. The neutron component of the field was thus minimized by thermalization in the water between the core and the exposure room and by capture in the gadolinium-cadmium shield.

III. RADIATION FIELD MEASUREMENTS

Neutron spectra. The neutron spectra were measured with threshold foils to permit evaluation of the response of the ion chambers in the mixed radiation fields. The average neutron distribution for the modified radiation fields is compared with the normal AFRRI-TRIGA reactor leakage spectrum in Table I. This table gives the relative probability distribution function of the flux density, $N(E)$, as a function of neutron energy. That is, the fraction of the total flux between 1.5 and 3.0 MeV is:

$$\int_{1.5}^{3.0} N(E) dE$$

In determining the relative flux density above 3 MeV, it is assumed that there are no neutrons above 10 MeV. The marked effect of the lead shielding on the neutron

Table I. Relative Neutron Flux Density Distribution

Energy range (MeV)	Relative neutron flux density		
	Normal AFRRI-TRIGA environment	Enhanced neutron field	Enhanced gamma ray field
0.01 - 0.6	.59	.59	.59
0.6 - 1.5	.27	.31	.22
1.5 - 3.0	.12	.09	.16
3.0 - 10.0	.02	.01	.03

spectrum is to degrade the flux density detected by the sulfur ($E > 3$ MeV). In the case of the water shield, the relative neutron spectrum is hardened slightly. There are many sources of error involved in evaluating neutron spectra by the threshold foil technique. The most significant errors are from cross-sectional data and foil calibrations. Approximation of the neutron spectra is estimated to be no better than ± 10 percent.

Tissue kerma rates, free-in-air. Extensive measurements of the components of the exposure environments were performed employing paired 50 cm³ ionization chambers. There are large uncertainties in the gamma ray component behind the lead and water shields due to the uncertainty in the absolute value of the neutron fluence to kerma factor (k factor) for the carbon-CO₂ chamber. Experimental and computed maximum values of k for a Teflon-CO₂ chamber are given in ICRU Report 10b.⁴ As recorded in Report 10b, the maximum value of k has been observed to vary from approximately 0.08 for a neutron energy of 0.5 MeV to 0.24 for a neutron energy of 8 MeV. An evaluation of the AFRRI-TRIGA reactor spectrum (as determined by threshold foil detectors) was performed by Sayeg and yielded 0.12 as a best estimate of k.⁷ Fluence to kerma conversion factors for carbon were calculated from data by Bach and Caswell.¹ For a carbon-CO₂ chamber these calculations yield a best estimate of $k = 0.11$ behind the lead shield, and $k = 0.12$ for the water shield. Assigning these values to the response of the carbon-CO₂ chamber, the separate neutron and gamma ray dose components, free-in-air, can be computed for the various shielding configurations.

It can be shown that a ± 10 percent uncertainty in the neutron fluence to kerma factor for the carbon chamber behind the lead shield can introduce a maximum error of less than 3 percent in the neutron kerma regardless of the neutron to gamma ray kerma ratio. The error in the number quoted for the gamma dose can be as high as ± 25 percent when the gamma rays contribute less than 10 percent to the total dose. Figures 5 and 6 summarize the free-in-air unperturbed environment for the two different radiation fields as a function of distance from the center line of the reactor core. The error limits illustrated in Figure 5 are associated with a ± 10 percent uncertainty in the absolute value of the k factor for the carbon chamber. Superimposed on the data curves is the exponential d^{-2} .




Figure 5. Tissue kerma rates for enhanced neutron field




Figure 6. Tissue kerma rates for enhanced gamma ray field

IV. DEPTH-DOSE DISTRIBUTIONS

Specially prepared pig cadavers were instrumented with miniature tissue-equivalent ionization chambers (Figure 7) to characterize the irradiations and to compare the depth-dose patterns for the two different radiation fields. The instrumented cadavers were irradiated in the same Lucite cages employed in subsequent biological experiments. All irradiations for dosimetry measurements were performed unilaterally at a total dose rate, free-in-air, of 500 rads per minute.




Figure 7. Typical dosimetry array in pig cadaver

For this study, depth-dose measurements were limited to the use of the 0.05 cm^3 tissue-equivalent ionization chamber to determine the total dose distribution for the two significantly different incident radiation fields. Measurements are presently

underway to employ the paired miniature chambers for resolution of radiation components within the specimens. These data will be reported at a later date.

Depth-dose distribution through intestinal region. Within the intestinal region, probes were located at 15 cm in depth and their location was verified by a dissection of the specimen. For the high neutron to gamma ray field, two depth-dose patterns were measured, an anterior and a posterior profile. The specimen was positioned with the midintestine location at 104 cm from the center line of the reactor core. At this location, the incident neutron to gamma ray ratio was 10. Figure 8 illustrates the depth-dose patterns for the two profiles. The dissection of the specimen revealed that the ion chamber position in the middle of the anterior profile was behind the spleen, pancreas, stomach and spiral colon. Such a position would account for the slight depression in the anterior pattern.




Figure 8. Depth-dose distribution (swine trunk)

Since the prime experimental criterion for this portion of the neutron effectiveness study was to approach a Class A type irradiation, the specimen was relocated to 150 cm from the center line of the reactor to flatten the depth-dose distribution through the intestinal region. At this location the incident neutron to gamma ray ratio was 5. In this configuration, only the posterior depth-dose pattern was measured. Figure 9 compares the patterns for the two specimen locations. At the 150 cm position, a vertical dose pattern was measured through the center probe from 5 cm to 17.5 cm in depth. The results indicated a maximum to minimum ratio of 1.1. Measurements of the lengthwise dose distribution across the intestinal region are summarized in Table II. For purposes of an intestinal damage study, a Class A exposure is approached at the 150 cm position only if one assumes that the outer 4 cm of the body wall is of no interest to the study and the specimen is rotated about the spiral colon.




Figure 9. Depth-dose distribution (swine trunk)

Table II. Lengthwise Dose Distribution in Swine Trunk (150 cm center line to center line)

Probe location	Relative kerma
Distal colon	.87
Posterior of intestine	.98
Spiral colon	1.00
Anterior of intestine	.95

It is noted that there are locations within the intestinal region (such as the distal colon) where the depression in the dose renders the irradiation condition as Class B.

A second specimen was instrumented to determine the depth-dose profile for the high gamma ray portion of the study. The probes in this specimen were positioned at 15 cm in depth through the center of the intestinal region. The center line of the intestine was positioned as closely as possible to the reactor tank lobe while maintaining the capability for rotating the specimen midway through the irradiation. At this location, the middle of the intestine was 112.7 cm from the center line of the reactor core. A comparison of the depth dose for the high gamma ray (112.7 cm center line to center line) and the high neutron (150 cm center line to center line) fields is depicted in Figure 10. Data of the depth-dose distribution for this specimen in the high neutron field were compared with data depicted in Figure 9. No significant difference was discernible. A comparison of the lengthwise distribution for both the high neutron and high gamma ray fields is illustrated in Figure 11.

Depth-dose distribution through the brain region. Depth-dose patterns across the brain region were obtained for the two radiation fields described in Section III. For the high neutron field the midbrain probe was located at 104 cm from the center line of the reactor core. This location was predicated upon the overriding requirement for a midbrain kerma rate of 2000 rads/minute and resulted in an incident free-in-air ratio of neutron dose to gamma dose of 10. For the case of the high gamma field, the midhead location was 72.7 cm from the core center line; this position was the closest to the exposure room lobe physically possible. Measurements of the depth dose across the brain for the two fields are summarized in Figure 12. These data as well as their relationship to the midthorax dose are tabulated in Table III.




Figure 10. Depth-dose distribution (swine trunk)




Figure 11. Lateral-dose distribution (swine trunk)




Figure 12. Depth-dose distribution (swine head)

Table III. Depth-Dose Profile across the Brain
of a Miniature Pig

Probe location	Percent of midbrain kerma	
	High neutron field	High gamma field
Head entrance	180	145
Brain entrance	140	120
Midbrain	100	100
Brain exit	63	78
Head exit	31	60
Midthorax	62	74

From the above it is clearly evident that the irradiations for the incapacitation portion of the study are Class B, nonuniform irradiations. In addition it is noted that the head entrance to exit ratio is greater than 5 for the neutron field and less than 2.5 for the gamma ray field. Entrance to exit ratios in the brain region are 2.2 and 1.5, respectively, for the neutron and gamma ray fields.

V. SUMMARY

The dosimetry methods employed in this study are considered to be among the most reliable for determining free-in-air neutron to gamma ratios and total kerma distributions of a modified mixed field of neutron and gamma radiations such as from the AFRRI-TRIGA reactor. The precision of all depth-dose measurements was within 3 percent whereas the accuracy of the dose measurements is estimated to be ± 10 percent. The limitation on accuracy is attributed to the use of the paired chamber technique and the knowledge of the neutron spectrum as determined by threshold foils.

The data presented in this report reinforce the determinations and findings of the ICRU as related to the classification of irradiation conditions. The validity of

characterizing irradiations of relatively large specimens by a single dose point, such as midintestine or midhead, is of questionable value. As a minimum, irradiations should be supplemented with at least depth-dose patterns through the region of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Bach, R. L. and Caswell, R. S. Energy transfer to matter by neutrons. *Radiation Res.* 35:1-25, 1968.
2. Dowling, J. H. Experimental determination of dose for the monkey in a reactor pulse environment. Bethesda, Maryland, Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute Scientific Report SR66-3, 1966.
3. Hurst, G. S., Harter, J. A., Hensley, P. N., Mills, W. A., Slater, M. and Reinhardt, P. W. Techniques of measuring neutron spectra with threshold detectors - tissue dose determination. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 27:153-156, 1956.
4. International Commission on Radiological Units and Measurements (ICRU) Report 10b, 1962. Physical aspects of irradiation. National Bureau of Standards Handbook No. 85. Washington, D. C., U. S. Government Printing Office, 1964.
5. International Commission on Radiological Units and Measurements (ICRU) Report 10e, 1962. Radiobiological dosimetry. National Bureau of Standards Handbook No. 88. Washington, D. C., U. S. Government Printing Office, 1963.
6. Kuritzky, C. S., Shonka, F. R., Wyckoff, H. O. and Pfeiffer, W. F. A miniature tissue-equivalent ionization chamber for pulse dosimetry. Bethesda, Maryland, Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute Technical Note TN68-9, 1968.
7. Sayeg, J. A., compiler. Neutron and gamma dosimetry measurements at the AFRRI-DASA TRIGA reactor. Bethesda, Maryland, Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute Contract Report CR65-6, 1965 (originally issued as Edgerton, Germeshausen and Grier, Inc. Report S-260-R, Santa Barbara, California, 1964).
8. Shonka, F. R. Lisle, Illinois, St. Procopius College (personal communication).

DISTRIBUTION LIST

AIR FORCE

The Surgeon General, U. S. Department of the Air Force, Washington, D. C. 20333 (1)
Executive Officer, Director of Professional Services, Office of the Surgeon General, Hq. USAF (AFMSPA) T-8, Washington, D. C. 20333 (1)
Bioenvironmental Engineer, Hq. AFSC (SCBP), Andrews AFB, Washington, D. C. 20331 (1)
USAFSAM (SMBR), ATTN: Chief, Radiobiology Branch, Brooks AFB, Texas 78235 (1)
Chief, Weapons and Weapons Effects Division, Hq. RTD (RTTW), Bolling AFB, Washington, D. C. 20332 (1)
Air Force Weapons Laboratory, ATTN: WLIL (1), ATTN: WLRB-2 (1), Kirtland AFB, New Mexico 87117 (2)
Chief, Nuclear Medicine Department, P. O. Box 5088, USAF Hospital, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433 (1)
Office of the Command Surgeon (ADCSG), Hq. ADC, USAF, Ent AFB, Colorado 80912 (1)
Commander, 6571st Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Holloman AFB, New Mexico 88330 (2)

ARMY

The Surgeon General, U. S. Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20315 (1)
Surgeon General, ATTN: MEDDH-N, U. S. Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20315 (1)
Commandant, U. S. Army Chemical Center and School, ATTN: AJMCL-T, Fort McClellan, Alabama 36201 (1)
USACDC CSSG, Doctrine Division, Fort Lee, Virginia 23801 (1)
Commanding Officer, USACDC CBR Agency, Fort McClellan, Alabama 36201 (1)
CG, USCONARC, ATTN: ATUTR-TNG (NBC), Fort Monroe, Virginia 23351 (1)
Commanding General, U. S. Army Electronics Command, ATTN: AMSEL-RD-MAT, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 (1)
Office of the Chief of Engineers, ATTN: Chief, Library Branch, STINFO Division, U. S. Department of the Army, Washington, D. C. 20315 (1)
Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Center, ATTN: Technical Documents Center, Bldg. 315, Vault, Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060 (1)
Nuclear Branch AMCRD-DN-RE, U. S. Army Materiel Command, Washington, D. C. 20315 (1)
Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Medical Research Laboratory, Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121 (1)
Commanding Officer, USA Nuclear Medical Research Detachment, Europe, APO New York, N. Y. 09180 (2)
Army Research Office, ATTN: Chief, Scientific Analysis Branch, Life Sciences Division, 3045 Columbia Pike, Arlington, Virginia 22204 (1)
Commanding General, U. S. Army Tank-Automotive Center, ATTN: SMOTA-RCSN, Warren, Michigan 48090 (1)
Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Nuclear Defense Laboratory, ATTN: Technical Library, Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010 (1)
Division of Nuclear Medicine, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, D. C. 20012 (5)
Commanding Officer, U. S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency, ATTN: USAEHA-RP, Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland 21010 (1)
Commandant, U. S. Army Medical Field Service School, ATTN: MEDEW-ZNW, Fort Sam Houston, Texas 78234 (1)

NAVY

Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, U. S. Navy Department, Washington, D. C. 20390 (1)
Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, ATTN: Code 71, U. S. Navy Department, Washington, D. C. 20390 (1)
Commanding Officer and Director (Code L31), U. S. Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory, Port Hueneme, California 93041 (1)
Commanding Officer, Naval Aerospace Medical Institute, Naval Aviation Medical Center, ATTN: Director of Research, Pensacola, Florida 32512 (3)
Head, Animal Behavioral Sciences Branch, Naval Aerospace Medical Institute, Naval Aerospace Medical Center, ATTN: Dr. John S. Thach, Jr., Pensacola, Florida 32512 (1)
Commanding Officer, U. S. Naval Weapons Evaluation Facility (Code WE), Kirtland Air Force Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87117 (1)
Commanding Officer, Nuclear Weapons Training Center, Atlantic, Nuclear Warfare Department, Norfolk, Virginia 23511 (1)

D. O. D.

Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, Washington, D. C. 20305 (1)
Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: DDST, Washington, D. C. 20305 (1)
Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: Chief, Medical Directorate, Washington, D. C. 20305 (4)

D. O. D. (continued)

Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: Chief, Radiation Directorate, Washington, D. C. 20305 (1)
Director, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: Technical Library, Washington, D. C. 20305 (2)
Chief, Livermore Division, FC DASA, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, California 94550 (1)
Commander, Headquarters Field Command, Defense Atomic Support Agency, ATTN: FCTG8, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 (1)
Director, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology, Washington, D. C. 20305 (1)
Commanding Officer, Harry Diamond Laboratories, ATTN: Nuclear Vulnerability Branch, Washington, D. C. 20438 (1)
W. L. Gieseler, Harry Diamond Laboratories, Washington, D. C. 20438 (1)
Administrator, Defense Documentation Center, Cameron Station, Bldg. 5, Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (20)

OTHER GOVERNMENT

U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Headquarters Library, Reports Section, Mail Station G-17, Washington, D. C. 20545 (1)
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Division of Biology and Medicine, Washington, D. C. 20545 (1)
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Division of Isotopes Development, ATTN: Mr. John C. Dempsey, Washington, D. C. 20545 (1)
U. S. Atomic Energy Commission, Bethesda Technical Library, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (1)
U. S. Department of Commerce, Environmental Science Services Administration, ATTN: Library, Boulder Laboratories, Boulder, Colorado 80302 (1)
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Manned Spacecraft Center, ATTN: Dr. B. D. Newsom, Mail Code DA, Houston, Texas 77058 (1)
National Bureau of Standards Library, ATTN: Sarah Ann Jones, Chief Librarian, Washington, D. C. 20234 (1)
National Bureau of Standards, ATTN: Chief, Radiation Physics Division, Washington, D. C. 20234 (5)
U. S. Public Health Service, Bureau of Radiological Health, Division of Biological Effects, 12720 Twinbrook Parkway, Rockville, Maryland 20852 (1)
U. S. Public Health Service, Bureau of Radiological Health, Library, 12720 Twinbrook Parkway, Rockville, Maryland 20852 (1)
U. S. Public Health Service, Northeastern Radiological Health Laboratory, 109 Holton Street, Winchester, Massachusetts 01890 (1)
U. S. Public Health Service, Southeastern Radiological Health Laboratory, P. O. Box 61, Montgomery, Alabama 36101 (1)
U. S. Public Health Service, Southwestern Radiological Health Laboratory, P. O. Box 15027, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114 (1)
Dr. C. Muelhouse, Radiation Research Center, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. 20234 (1)

OTHER

Argonne National Laboratory, Library Services Department, Report Section Bldg. 203, RM-CE-125, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60440 (1)
Boeing Company Aerospace Library, ATTN: 8K-38 Ruth E. Peerenboom, P. O. Box 3999, Seattle, Washington 98124 (2)
Dr. J. T. Brennan, Radiology Department, University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 (1)
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Information Division, ATTN: Research Library, Upton, Long Island, New York 11973 (2)
Dr. J. S. Burkle, Director of Nuclear Medicine, York Hospital, York, Pennsylvania 17403 (1)
S. C. Bushong, Department of Radiology, Baylor University College of Medicine, Houston, Texas 77024 (1)
University of California, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Berkeley, California 94720 (1)
Director, Radiobiology Laboratory, University of California, Davis, California 95616 (1)
University of California, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Technical Information Division Library L-3, P. O. Box 808, Livermore, California 94551 (2)
University of California, Laboratory of Nuclear Medicine and Radiation Biology, Library, 900 Veteran Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90024 (1)
Cdr. William H. Chapman, USN (Ret.), Bio-Medical Division L-523, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, University of California, P. O. Box 808, Livermore, California 94551 (1)
Cornell University, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Ithaca, New York 14850 (1)
Dr. L. W. Davis, Radiology Department, University of Pennsylvania, 3400 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 (1)
Professor R. Duffey, Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20740 (1)

OTHER (continued)

E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Savannah River Laboratory, Technical Information Service 773-A, Aiken, South Carolina 29801 (1)

Professor Merrill Eisenbud, New York University, Tuxedo, New York 10987 (1)

Dr. T. C. Evans, Radiation Research Laboratory, College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52240 (1)

Dr. Arnold Feldman, Institute of Radiology, School of Medicine, Washington University, 510 South Kingshighway, St. Louis, Missouri 63110 (1)

Mr. Orin Gelderloos, Division of Literature, University of Michigan, Dearborn Campus, Dearborn, Michigan 48124 (1)

General Dynamics/Fort Worth, ATTN: Librarian, P. O. Box 748, Fort Worth, Texas 76101 (1)

Gulf General Atomic Incorporated, ATTN: A. Graf, P. O. Box 608, San Diego, California 92112 (1)

Gulf General Atomic Incorporated, ATTN: Library, P. O. Box 608, San Diego, California 92112 (1)

Holmes and Narver, Inc., Nuclear Division Library, 828 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017 (1)

IIT Research Institute, ATTN: Document Library, 10 West 35th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60616 (1)

Professor E. Jordan, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Catholic University, Washington, D. C. 20017 (1)

Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, ATTN: Report Librarian, P. O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 (1)

Director, Nuclear Science Center, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803 (2)

Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research, Document Library, 5200 Gibson Boulevard, S. E., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108 (1)

Dr. J. I. Marcum, Rand Corporation, 1700 Main Street, Santa Monica, California 90401 (1)

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, M.I.T. Libraries, Technical Reports, Room 14 E-210, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (1)

Dr. Charles W. Mays, Physics Group Leader, Radiobiology Division, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 (1)

Dr. R. L. Murray, Department of Nuclear Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, N. C. 27607 (1)

New York University, Department of Nuclear Engineering, New York, N. Y. 10019 (1)

President, Nuclear Technology Corporation, 116 Main Street, White Plains, New York 10601 (1)

Ohio State University, Nuclear Reactor Laboratory, 1298 Kinnear Road, Columbus, Ohio 43212 (1)

Dr. Alan Orvis, Consultant in Biophysics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota 55901 (1)

Pennsylvania State University, Department of Nuclear Engineering, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 (1)

Purdue University, Nuclear Engineering Library, Lafayette, Indiana 47909 (1)

Radiation Effects Information Center, Battelle Memorial Institute, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43201 (1)

Dr. S. M. Reichard, Director, Division of Radiobiology, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta, Georgia 30902 (1)

Dr. H. H. Rossi, 630 West 168th Street, New York, N. Y. 10032 (1)

Dr. Eugene L. Saenger, Director, Radioisotope Laboratory, Cincinnati General Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio 45229 (1)

Sandia Corporation Library, P. O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115 (1)

Sandia Corporation, Livermore Laboratory, ATTN: Technical Library, P. O. Box 969, Livermore, California 94550 (1)

Scope Publications, Franklin Station, P. O. Box 7407, Washington, D. C. 20004 (1)

Texas A and M College, Department of Nuclear Engineering, College Station, Texas 77843 (1)

Texas A and M University, Radiation Biology Laboratory, Texas Engineering Experiment Station, College Station, Texas 77840 (2)

Texas Nuclear Corporation, ATTN: Director of Research, Box 9267 Allandale Station, Austin, Texas 78756 (1)

University of Arizona, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Tucson, Arizona 85721 (1)

University of Rochester, Atomic Energy Project Library, P. O. Box 287, Station 3, Rochester, N. Y. 14620 (1)

University of Southern California, Nuclear Physics Laboratory, University Park, Los Angeles, California 90007 (1)

Western Reserve University, Department of Radiology, Division of Radiation Biology, Cleveland, Ohio 44106 (1)

Professor M. E. Wyman, Nuclear Engineering Program, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801 (1)

Director, Electron Accelerator Laboratory, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520 (1)

Mr. Lionel Zamore, 601 Brightwater Court, Brooklyn, New York 11235 (1)

FOREIGN

International Atomic Energy Agency, Kärntnering 11, Vienna I. 1010, Austria (1)

European Atomic Energy Community, C. E. E. A., Library, 51 rue Belliard, Brussels 4, Belgium (1)

Library, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., P. O. Box 905, Toronto 18, Ontario, Canada (1)

University of Saskatchewan, ATTN: Director, Accelerator Laboratory, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada (1)

Director, Science Abstracts, Institution of Electrical Engineers, Savoy Place, London WC2, England (1)

Dr. L. G. Lajtha, Paterson Laboratories, Christie Hospital and Holt Radium Institute, Manchester, England (1)

Dr. L. F. Lamerton, Biophysics Department, Institute of Cancer Research, Surrey Branch, Belmont, Sutton, Surrey, England (1)

FOREIGN (continued)

- Dr. G. E. Adams, Research Unit in Radiobiology, Mount Vernon Hospital, Northwood, Middlesex, England (1)
National Lending Library for Science and Technology, Boston Spa, Yorkshire, England (1)
Directorate of Medical and Health Services, FAF (Federal Armed Forces), Bonn, Ermekeilstrasse 27, West Germany (1)
Abteilung für Strahlenbiologie im Institut für Biophysik der Universität Bonn, 53 Bonn-Venusberg, Annaberger Weg 15, Federal Republic of Germany (2)
Prof. Dr. H. Langendorff, Direktor des Radiologischen Instituts der Universität, 78 Freiburg im Breisgau, Albertstrasse 23, Germany (1)
Priv.-Doz. Dr. O. Messerschmidt, Radiologisches Institut der Universität, 78 Freiburg im Breisgau, Albertstrasse 23, Germany (1)
Dr. Helmut Mitschrich, Akademie des Sanitäts- und Gesundheitswesens der Bundeswehr, Spezialstab ATV, 8 München, Schwere Reiterstrasse 4, Germany (2)
Prof. Dr. F. Wachsmann, Gesellschaft für Strahlenforschung m.b.H., 8042 Neuherberg bei München, Institut für Strahlenschutz, Ingolstadter Landstrasse 1, München, Germany (1)
Col. Joachim Emde, Direktor, Spezialstab ATV, ABC- und Selbstschuttschule, 8972 Sonthofen 2/Allgäu, Berghoferstrasse 17, West Germany (1)
Dr. G. W. Barendsen, Radiobiological Institute TNO, Rijswijk, Netherlands (1)
Puerto Rico Nuclear Center, ATTN: Reading Room, College Station, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico 00708 (2)

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute Defense Atomic Support Agency Bethesda, Maryland 20014		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b. GROUP N/A	
3. REPORT TITLE DOSIMETRY FOR NEUTRON RADIATION STUDIES IN MINIATURE PIGS			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) D. M. Verrelli			
6. REPORT DATE May 1971		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 24	7b. NO. OF REFS 8
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.		9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) AFRRI TN71-2	
b. PROJECT NO. NWER XAXM			
c. Task and Subtask D 908		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d. Work Unit 04			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Director Defense Atomic Support Agency Washington, D. C. 20305	
13. ABSTRACT Miniature pig cadavers were instrumented and irradiated in a neutron field (incident neutron to gamma kerma ratio of 5-10) and a gamma ray field (incident gamma to neutron kerma ratio of 10-15) from the AFRRI-TRIGA reactor. Characterization of the radiation field included free-in-air measurements of the neutron and gamma ray components employing the paired chamber concept. Depth-dose patterns across the brain and intestinal regions were measured for each of the radiation fields employing a miniature tissue-equivalent ionization chamber.			

DD FORM 1 NOV 65 1473 (PAGE 1)

S/N 0101-807-6801

UNCLASSIFIED
Security Classification